

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

I and numerous others are already being charged Fees for the Universal Service Fund through our local and long distance service. How much more is continually being needed to support the high cost of providing telephone services to rural areas? The local telephone companies keep adding extra charges for little incidentals that look like government charges but are not. Seems to me that they are already collecting enough "extras" to lower their cost in providing rural area service.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Frank McCormick
4770 Montebello Dr.
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80918-2748